

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **United States Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/071,54	1 05/04/	98 HUANG		H	040750-5001	
	-			EXAMINER		
		HM12/0606	•			
MORGAN LEWIS & BOCKIUS				FONDA.K		
1800 M STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTO	N DC 20036	-5869			1	
				1623	t	
				DATE MAILED:		
					06/06/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

			Application	a No	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary Th MAILING DATE of this communication app				Application No. Applicant(s) 09/071,541 HUANG ET AL.						
			Examin r		Art Unit					
				ahler Fonda, Ph.D.	1623					
				<u>-</u>		Idress				
Period fo					•					
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum s te to reply within the set or extended period for reply apply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. 30) days, a reply tatutory period w y will, by statute,	36 (a). In no eve y within the statut vill apply and will , cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be ti ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered tim the mailing date of this D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>12-1</u>	<u>18-00 & 3-13</u>	<u>3-01</u> .						
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is r	on-final.						
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.										
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.										
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.										
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) 🗌	Claims are subject to restrict	ction and/or	r election red	quirement.						
Application	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by t	he Examine	er.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected t	to by the Exa	aminer.						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:										
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.										
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No										
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).										
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a clai	in ior dome	souc priority	unuer 33 U.S.C. § 11	<i>∃(6).</i>					
Attachment	(s)									
16) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)				ry (PTO-413) Paper I Patent Application (

Art Unit: 1623

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 lacks positive antecedent basis for "the resistance," "the induction," and "the increased rate", and is therefore indefinite.

Claims 8, 12, and 16 are indefinite because the phrase "its derivatives" has no particular art-recognized meaning, and has not been adequately defined in the specification.

Claims 9 and 13 lack positive antecedent basis for "the resistance", "the induction", and "the increased rate" and are therefore indefinite.

Claims 9 and 13 are furthermore indefinite because they do not state what it is that targets the recited "target cell or tissue". Applicant will note that claim 1 is not subject to this rejection because claim 1 states "a target cell or tissue of a mutant EGFR gene".

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless &

Art Unit: 1623

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by NAGANE et al. (C24). The last sentence of the reference states, "[W]e are currently testing CDDP treatment of U87MG. Δ EGFR cells in combination with tyrphostins, specific tyrosine kinase inhibitors of Δ EGFR." The statement was made during a presentation at a meeting held January 9-13, 1998, and Applicant's filing date is May 4, 1998. Thus the claims are anticipated.

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over HAN et al. (K) in view of REED (A).

Applicant claims a method of modulating inhibition of apoptosis in a target cell or tissue of a mutant EGFR gene by administering an effective amount of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor to the cell or tissue, in combination with a therapy which is effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis. The mutant EGFR gene may be Δ EGFR. The cell or tissue may be a tumor selected from the group consisting of glioma, breast cancer, lung cancer, and ovarian cancer. The therapy effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis may be administration of cisplatin, paclitaxel, or vincristine. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor may be tyrphostin AG1478.

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant also claims a pharmaceutical composition and a kit for treating cancer comprising (A) an amount of an agent which is effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis in a target cell or tissue, and (B) an amount of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor effective to reduce resistance mediated by a mutant EGFR to induction of apoptosis or to increased rate of apoptosis in a target cell or tissue. The agent may be cisplatin, paclitaxel, or vincristine. The tyrosine kinase inhibitor may be tyrphostin AG1478.

HAN teaches that tyrphostin AG1478 is a tyrosine kinase inhibitor that preferentially inhibits human glioma cells expressing the mutant $\Delta EGFR$ rather than wild-type EGFR; see the abstract. Additionally, HAN suggests that because tyrphostin AG1478 is a relatively specific inhibitor of $\Delta EGFR$, it may be therapeutically useful with regard to glioblastomas, and breast, lung, and ovarian cancers, because the $\Delta EGFR$ mutation occurs frequently in these cancers; see the abstract and the last two paragraphs on page 3861. HAN does not state that a tyrosine kinase inhibitor such as tyrphostin AG1478 should be administered together with a therapy which is effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis.

REED teaches that cisplatin, taxol (also known as paclitaxel), and vincristine are known cancer chemotherapeutic agents which have in common an ability to induce apoptosis in cancer cells; see column 22, lines 4-15.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/071,541

Art Unit: 1623

It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to provide a method of modulating inhibition of apoptosis in a target cell or tissue of a mutant EGFR gene by administering an effective amount of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor to the cell or tissue, in combination with a therapy which is effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis, wherein the mutant EGFR gene is Δ EGFR; the cell or tissue is a tumor selected from the group consisting of glioma, breast cancer, lung cancer, and ovarian cancer; the therapy effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis is administration of cisplatin, paclitaxel, or vincristine; and the tyrosine kinase inhibitor is tyrphostin AG1478. An ordinarily skilled worker would have been motivated to do so in order to obtain the expected combination of therapeutic benefits with regard to cancer treatment. HAN had clearly suggested that use of tyrphostin AG1478 for treatment of glioblastomas, and breast, lung, and ovarian cancers. As taught by REED, cisplatin, taxol (also known as paclitaxel), and vincristine were known cancer chemotherapeutic agents which could induce apoptosis in cancer cells. Because tyrphostin AG1478 had been taught by HAN to be a relatively specific inhibitor of ΔEGFR, an ordinarily skilled worker would have expected the claimed combination therapy to result in modulation of the apoptosis-inhibiting effect of ΔEGFR , in accordance with the instant method claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/071,541

Art Unit: 1623

It would furthermore have been obvious to provide a pharmaceutical composition or kit for treating cancer comprising (A) an amount of an agent which is effective to induce apoptosis or increase the rate of apoptosis in a target cell or tissue, and (B) an amount of a tyrosine kinase inhibitor effective to reduce resistance mediated by a mutant EGFR to induction of apoptosis or to increased rate of apoptosis in a target cell or tissue, wherein the agent is cisplatin, paclitaxel, or vincristine; and the tyrosine kinase inhibitor is tyrphostin AG1478. An ordinarily skilled worker would have been motivated to do so in order to provide a therapeutically useful composition or kit to be used in cancer treatment (see the Examiner's explanation of obviousness of the method in the previous paragraph), which would enhance compliance with an appropriate treatment regimen, as well as provide added convenience for both clinician and patient.

Applicant is reminded that it is well established that no patentable invention resides in combining old ingredients of known characteristics where the results obtained thereby are no more than the additive effects of the ingredients. See In re Sussman, 1943 C.D. 518; In re Huellmantel, 139 USPQ 496; and In re Crockett et al., 1266 USPQ 186. Also, it is obvious to combine ingredients which have been separately employed for a given purpose in order to obtain the expected combination of benefits. See In re Greenfield, 571 F.2d. 1185, 197 USPQ 227 (CCPA 1978). In the instant case, there has been no clear and

Application/Control Number: 09/071,541 Page 7

Art Unit: 1623

convincing showing (see In re Lohr et al., 137 USPQ 548),

commensurate in scope with the claims (see In re Lindner, 173

USPQ 356; In re Hyson, 172 USPQ 339, and In re Boesch et al., 205

USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980)), of any unexpected results.

Applicant's arguments filed 12-18-00 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the results obtained are not merely additive, but instead are surprising and synergistic. Applicant asserts that the experimental results provided in the specification, for example Figure 6B, provide evidence of unobviousness. The Examiner does not agree. Based on the known activity of tyrphostins to inhibit tyrosine kinase activity, the result obtained does not appear to be unexpected.

Applicant argues that the prior art, in particular U.S. Patent 5,597,798 to Howell et al., teaches away from doing what Applicant has done. According to Applicant, Howell teaches that increasing tyrosine kinase activity by administering EGF increases cell sensitivity to agents such as cisplatin, while Applicant teaches that decreasing tyrosine kinase activity by administration of inhibitors increases cell sensitivity to such agents. This argument is not convincing because Howell does not appear to representative of the entire state of the art at the time of the invention. For example, Gulli et al. (C13) had taught that a higher dosage of EGF inhibited cell proliferation, while a lower dosage increased cell proliferation. Similarly,

Application/Control Number: 09/071,541

Art Unit: 1623

Levitzki (D2, page 913, second column) had stated in 1990, "If one designs blockers for the substrate domain of the protein tyrosine kinase (PTK*), it is likely to yield an effective and selective antiproliferative agent." Thus the Howell teaching, when considered in context, does not constitute a teaching away.

No claim is allowed.

Papers relating to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. The number of the fax machine for official papers in Technology Center 1600 is (703) 308-4556. Any document submitted by facsimile transmission will be considered an official communication unless the cover sheet clearly indicates that it is an informal communication.

INTERNET INFORMATION: Secure and confidential access to patent application status information is now available; see http://www.uspto.gov/ebc/index.html for more information. Also, http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/ac/comp/fin/clonedefault.htm may be used to pay patent maintenance fees, pay non-filing application fees, and maintain USPTO deposit accounts.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Kathleen Kahler Fonda, at telephone number (703) 308-1620. Examiner Fonda can generally be reached Tuesday through Friday, and on alternating Mondays, from 7:30 a.m. until 5:00 p.m. If the

Application/Control Number: 09/071,541 Page 9

Art Unit: 1623

Examiner cannot be reached, questions may be addressed to Supervisory Patent Examiner Gary Geist at (703) 308-1701. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center 1600 receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Kathleen Kahler Fonda, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1623